PMRA Submission Number {.....}

Common name: HeiQ AGS20

EPA MRID Number 49710101

Data Requirement: PMRA Data Code:

EPA DP Barcode: 429650

OECD Data Point: EPA Guideline:

Test material: HeiQ AGS-20 (Batch HQ-RCC-080311)

Purity (%): >99% HeiQ AGS-20;

17.4% silver nanoparticles

Soma M. Landall

Chemical name: Sintered nanosilver-amorphous silica composite

IUPAC: CAS name: CAS No.: Synonyms:

Reviewer(s): Donna M. Randall, M.S., Senior Scientist/Ecotoxicologist

USEPA/OCSPP/OPP/AD/RASSB

Reference/Submission No.: 973615

Company Code: [For PMRA]
Active Code: [For PMRA]
Use Site Category: [For PMRA]

EPA PC Code: 072599

CITATION: Height, M. 2014. HeiQ AGS-20: Acute Toxicity to *Daphnia magna* in a 48-Hour Immobilization Test. Conducted and prepared by Harlan Laboratories Ltd., Itingen, Switzerland for HeiQ Materials AG, Bad Zurzach, Switzerland. Harlan Laboratories Study C51383. Study Experimental Dates: 11/13/2009-12/18/2009.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The 48-hour acute toxicity of HeiQ AGS-20, a sintered nanosilver-amorphous silica composite, to *Daphnia magna* was studied under static conditions. This study is classified as unacceptable for use in determining the quantitative toxicity to *D. magna* of HeiQ AGS-20 and its nanosilver component primarily due to the use of a water accommodated fraction (WAF) approach and lack of characterization of silver fractions (e.g., ionic, nano, remaining dissolved) to which the daphnids were exposed over the study duration, but there are also other issues that impacted the quality of the study.

Daphnids were exposed to a dilution control, a WAF of a stock solution of the end-use formulation product HeiQ AGS-20 (Batch HQ-RCC-080311), and five dilutions of the WAF. The geometric mean measured total silver concentrations of the WAF and the four least diluted WAF treatments were 25.1, 7.27, 2.79 and 0.480 μ g/L. No analytical measurements of silver were made in the two most dilute treatment solutions (nominal 0.283 and 0.088 μ g/L total silver). The control measured total silver concentration was below the limit of quantification (<0.500 μ g/L total silver).

- No characterization of the nanosilver a.i. released from HeiQ AGS-20 and its major transformation products in the WAF was provided under test conditions. Only total silver (tAg) was measured in the test media; analytical methods did not include fractionating silver into its ionic, nano-sized, remaining "dissolved", and non-dissolved components. That dissolution of a portion of the nanosilver in the test material is likely to have occurred is supported by statements in the study report regarding that the WAF was prepared in a manner "...to dissolve maximum amounts of the different compounds of the test item in the test water."
- The use of a WAF for the purpose of having more dissolved compounds of HeiQ AGS-20 end use product dissolve does not meet protocols the Agency would use to establish the toxicity of a test material with

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

nanomaterial components, for example see Section 5.2.1., bullet number 10 and Section 5.3, bullet number 9 in Coleman, Kennedy and Harmon (2015).

- The concentration of total silver in the water column was not stable as concentrations in three of the four treatments analyzed declined by more than half in one treatment and by more than 20% in two others, indicating a static test was not appropriate for this test material.
- The dilution spacing factor of 3.2 is larger than recommended and impacted the statistical model which could be used to determine the EC₅₀ and NOEC especially given a steep slope response was observed rather than a more flat concentration-effect relationship and that the effective dose spacing was actually 5.8 based on measured concentrations surrounding the EC₅₀ and NOEC/LOEC.

For the collective reasons discussed above, this study is classified as unacceptable for use in determining the quantitative toxicity to *D. magna* of HeiQ AGS-20 and its nanosilver component. Whatever the actual form of the test material, it appears more toxic than what is expected of dissolved silver alone based on ambient water quality criteria for silver. No paired daphnid acute test with silver nitrate was included in the study to document the toxicity to ionic and dissolved silver of the organisms used in this specific study. The national ambient water quality criteria maximum concentration at a water hardness of 250 mg $CaCO_3/L$, the water hardness in this study, for dissolved silver (dAg) is 15.6 μ g dAg/L, which equates to an acute LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ of 31.1 μ g/L dissolved silver versus the 1.16 μ g/L total silver observed in this study. *Daphnia magna* was the most sensitive species to silver used to derive the silver ambient water quality criteria¹.

I. MATERIALS AND METHODS

GUIDELINE FOLLOWED:

- OECD Guideline for Testing of Chemicals, No. 202, *Daphnia* sp., Acute Immobilisation Test, 2004
- EU Commission Directive 92/69/EEC, C.2, Acute Toxicity for Daphnia, 1992
- Commission Regulation (EC) No 440/2008 of 30 May 2008 laying down test methods pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), C.2, Acute Toxicity for Daphnia.
- OECD Series on Testing and Assessment No. 23. OECD Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures.

COMPLIANCE:

Signed and dated No Data Confidentiality Claim, GLP Compliance and Quality Assurance Statements for the study were included and indicated that everything was conducted in compliance with the exception of pre-experiments (Appendix, p. 5 of report).

A. MATERIALS:

1. Test material

HeiQ AGS-20

Description:

Sintered nanosilver-amorphous silica composite powder

¹ https://www.epa.gov/wqc/national-recommended-water-quality-criteria-aquatic-lifecriteria-table

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

Lot No./Batch No.: Batch HQ-RCC-080311

>99% HeiQ AGS-20; % silver nanoparticles (Ag⁰) – not reported (label claim **Purity:**

Stability of compound under test conditions:

Total silver (tAg) was monitored in exposure media, but no characterization of the size distribution or quantitative fractionation into nanosilver particle size content or distribution, and ionic silver concentration was made. The test material was not stable throughout the static test. The measured tAg concentration declined more than 20% in 3 of the 4 treatments analyzed (48-hour

concentrations were 78.1%, 71.5%, 105%, and <54.3% of 0-hour concentrations in the WAF, 1:3.2, 1:10, and 1:32 dilution treatments, respectively. No analytical

measurements were made in the 1:100 or 1:320 dilution treatments.

Table 1. Characterization of the nanosilver a.i. in HeiQ AGS-20 under test conditions

Parameter	Values	Comments
Shape	Not reported	Not analyzed under test conditions.
Size	1 to 10 nm in diameter	Egger et al. 200); not analyzed under test conditions and not tested using the batch used in this study
Surface area	Measured but CBI	Both composite and metal component MRID 4889578, CBI
Size-to-surface area ratio		
Zeta potential	Not measured	No information on the nanosilver a.i. using exposure media and test conditions were provided to the agency or cited.
Capping material	None	
Water solubility at 20 °C	210.3 mg Ag/L (±2.46 mg Ag/L)	Pg 14 of study report. Temperature for solubility was not specified.
UV absorption	Measured but CBI	Measured in distilled water MRID 48878801, CBI

CBI: Confidential business information

Storage conditions of

test chemicals: HeiQ AGS-20 (Batch HQ-RCC-080311) was stored at 25 °C by registrant and at

room temperature (20 °C), away from direct sunlight by Harlan Laboratories. Stock solution stirring and equilibrium (5 days) was conducted in the dark at room temperature; resulting WAF stock solution was used immediately to

prepare test media.

2. Test organism:

Species: Daphnia magna Straus, clone 5

≤24 hours old and not first brood progeny Age at test initiation:

Source: In-house cultures

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

B. STUDY DESIGN:

1. Experimental Conditions

a. Range-finding Study: A range finding study was reported as being conducted, but the results are not provided in the report.

b. Definitive Study:

Table 2. Experimental Parameters

Parameter	Details	Remarks
Acclimation		Test dilution water is same
Period:	Not applicable:	as culture water and
Conditions: (same as test or not)	Same as test	culture temperature same
Feeding:	Not during test	as test.
Health: (any mortality observed)	Mortality in cultures not reported, but	
	stated as healthy and lacking in diseases.	Test control mortality within acceptable limits.
Duration of the test	48 hours	
Test conditions		
Static/flow-through:	Static	
Type of dilution system for flow-through method.	Not applicable	
Renewal rate for static renewal	Not applicable	
Aeration, if any	No aeration during the test period.	
Test vessel		
Material: (glass/stainless steel)	Glass beakers	
Size:	100 mL	1
Fill volume:	50 mL	
Biomass loading rate	5 daphnia/50 mL = 1 daphnia/10 mL	
Source of dilution water	Reconstituted hard synthetic water	ISO 6341 method
Dilution water parameters:		
Hardness, mg/L as CaCO ₃	250	
pH, IU	7.9	
Dissolved oxygen, mg/L	8.6	ł
Total Organic Carbon, mg/L	Followed ISO 6341 to prepare	
Particulate matter, mg/L	reconstituted water therefore all of the	
Metals, mg/L	following parameters should meet	
Pesticides, mg/L	guideline standards for dilution water.	
Chlorine, mg/L		
Alkalinity, mg/L as CaCO ₃	0.8]
Conductivity, µS/cm	 	
COD (when testing cationic compounds)		
Intervals of water quality measurement		
Test solution water parameters:		
pH, IU	7.9 to 8.0	
Dissolved oxygen, mg/L	8.4 to 8.7	
Temperature, °C	20 (±0 °C)	

PMRA Submission Number {......}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
Intervals of water quality measurement	test initiation (0-hr) and termination (48-		
	hr)		
Stock solution concentration		A water accommodated	
Nominal:	3.2 mg AGS-20/L	fraction method was used	
	0.557 mg tAg/L – reviewer calculated	to prepare test solutions.	
	based on assumption of 17.4% nanosilver		
	a.i. content (nanosilver a.i. content of	Per page 17 of the study	
	Batch HQ-RCC-080311 not stated in	report, the stock solution	
	study report but based on ratio of	was stirred for 96 hours	
	conversion between silver and test	(~750 rpm) at room	
	material the reviewer calculated 17.4%	temperature, then left to sit	
	silver content)	for 24 hours to allow	
		particles to settle and then	
		filtered through membrane	
Measured:	WAF: 28.3 μg tAg/L	filters (Schleicher &	
		Schuell, Type NC20, pore	
		size 0.20 µm [200 nm]).	
		Only total silver (tAg) was	
		measured, no fractionation	
		or determination of ionic	
		and particulate Ag was	
		performed.	
Treatment concentrations	Nominal tAg of WAF dilutions:	Only total silver (tAg) was	
Nominal (corrected/uncorrected):	Control, WAF (28.3 µg tAg/L), and five	measured, no fractionation	
	WAF dilutions (1:3.2; 1:10; 1:32; 1:100;	or determination of ionic	
	& 1:320) which correspond to	and particulate Ag was	
	8.84, 2.83, 0.884, 0.283, and 0.088 µg	performed.	
	tAg/L, respectively.		
Measured:	Geometric mean of 0-hour and 48-hour	Used one-half the LOQ for	
	measured tAg concentrations: <0.500 μg	the non-detect at 48 hours	
	tAg/L (control), 25.1 (WAF), 7.27, 2.79,	for the 1:32 WAF dilution	
	0.480, n.m., n.m. µg tAg/L, respectively	treatment to calculate the	
	(n.m.: not measured)	geometric mean	
		concentration.	
Solvent (type and concentration, if used)	None		
Number of organisms/replicate			
Negative control:	5 daphnia per replicate		
Positive control:	Not reported		
Treatments:	5 daphnia per replicate		
Number of replicates	4 1		
Negative control:	4 replicates		
Positive control:	Not reported	1 460	
Treatments:	4 replicates per treatment group		
Lighting	16 hours light:8 hours dark; 520 to 680	30-min transition period	

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
Recovery of chemical		Method: Atomic	
Level of Quantitation	0.500 μg Ag/L	Absorption Spectroscopy	
Level of Detection	Not reported	(AAS)	
Method recoveries	87% & 80% of spiked values (3.00 and		
	95.1 μg Ag/L, respectively); mean of 83% (n=4)		
% of nominal	Of the three WAF dilutions analyzed		
	(1:3.2, 1:10, and 1:32) the 0-hour		
	concentrations ranged from 96.1% to		
	104% of nominal		
Variability of chemical in the test system	In the WAF and the three WAF dilutions		
	analyzed (1:3.2, 1:10, and 1:32) all but		
	the 1:10 dilution declined by over 20%		
	of initial measured concentrations; the		
	1:10 at test termination was 105% of		
ment and Ori	initial—see stability discussion		
Other parameters, if any			

2. Observations:

Table 3: Observations

Parameter	Details	Remarks	
Parameters measured, including the sublethal effects	Survival, immobilization, behavior, morphology		
Observation intervals	Lethal and sublethal effects: test initiation, 24 & 48 hours		
	tAg analysis in test solutions: test initiation and termination.		
	Water quality: water temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen: test initiation and termination		
	Appearance: test initiation, 24 and 48 hours.		
Were raw data included?	Yes	.,	
Other observations, if any			

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MORTALITY/IMMOBILIZATION:

The number of organisms exposed at test initiation and the number and percent immobilized after 24 and 48 hours of exposure in a control, WAF and five dilutions of the WAF are summarized in Table 4. Control immobilization at test termination (0%) met guideline standards ($\leq 10\%$).

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

Table 4: Effect of WAF of AGS-20 expressed as tAg(a) on Immobility of Daphnia magna

Treatment Group ^(a)			Observation period			
	Geometric mean measured tAg μg/L [nominal] ^(a)	No. of organisms at test initiation	24 hr		48 hr	
Dilution			No. Immobile	% Immobilized	No. Immobile	% Immobilized
Control	< 0.500	20	0	0	0	0
1:320	na [0.088]	20	0	0	0	0
1:100	na [0.283]	20	0	0	0	0
1:32	0.480 ^(c) [0.884]	20	0	0	0	0
1:10	2.79 [2.83]	20	20	100	20	100
1:3.2	7.27 [8.84]	20	20	100	20	100
WAF	25.1 []	20	20	100	20	100

na: not analyzed

B. SUB-LETHAL TOXICITY ENDPOINTS:

No sublethal effects were reported. Report does not specifically state none were observed but the guideline stated as followed calls for observing and reporting sublethal effects other than immobilization.

C. REPORTED STATISTICS: No statistical analysis was conducted because the response was all dead at the 1:10 dilution and no dead at the 1:32 dilution. The study authors calculated the 24-hr and 48-h EC₅₀ as the geometric mean of the 1:32 WAF dilution and 1:10 WAF dilution concentrations expressed as HeiQ AGS-20 concentrations (8.8 μ g HeiQ AGS-20/L) and these treatment concentrations [3 & 16 μ g HeiQ AGS-20/L] were also used as the confidence bounds for the EC₅₀ and as the NOAEC and LOAEC). The study authors converted measured tAg concentrations to HeiQ AGS-20 based on HeiQ AGS-20 batch being tested having a 17.4% silver content. Because the tAg concentration was non-detect at test termination in the 1:32 WAF dilution, the study authors used one-half the LOQ to calculate the concentration in the 1:32 dilution treatment. This resulted in an effective dose spacing factor of 5.8 between the treatment group with 100% mortality (1:10 WAF dilution and the treatment group (1:32 WAF dilution) with no mortality.

Reviewer agrees that the statistical approach of taking a geometric mean, or also called the binomial method by the Agency, is the method used when there is an all and none response. However, the guideline recommended dose-spacing of 2 limits the large uncertainty introduced into the EC50 and NOEC/LOEC in such an all-or-none response. The 48-h EC50 value based on measured tAg is 1.16 μ g tAg/L, with confidence bounds of 0.480 μ g tAg/L to 2.79 μ g tAg/L which also correspond to the NOEC and LOEC, respectively.

D. CONCLUSIONS: This study is classified as unacceptable for use in determining the quantitative toxicity of HeiQ AGS-20 end-use product and it's nanosilver a.i. based on all the major issues identified that make the results unrepresentative — WAF approach to transform as much of the nanosilver a.i. to a dissolved form, lack of characterization of what organisms were actually exposed to in terms of nanosilver particulates, fractionated silver

⁽a) Testing laboratory used measured tAg to extrapolate the HeiQ AGS-20 exposure concentration, based on measured tAg in stock. As only one concentration of HeiQ AGS-20 was used to prepare the stock and material was allowed to settle out and was filtered out using a 20 nm filter the dilutions of the WAF do not represent differing concentrations of HeiQ AGS-20 and are not expressed by the reviewer as such as compared to the report.

⁽b) Reviewer calculated nominal concentrations of total silver (tAg) in dilutions of the WAF, based on measured tAg in the WAF stock at test initiation.

⁽c) For calculating the geometric mean measured concentration, used one-half the LOQ for the non-detect analytical result at 48-hours.

PMRA Submission Number {.....}

EPA MRID Number 49710101

and ionic silver concentrations (example MRID 49725501), the instability of exposure concentrations over the duration of the study and therefore the static exposure versus at least static renewal, the enlarged dose spacing.

The 48-hr EC₅₀ for the WAF based on measured tAg is $1.16 \mu g$ tAg/L. This value is lower than the acute ambient water quality criteria for dissolved silver (dAg) of $15.5 \mu g/L$ at a water hardness of $250 \text{ mg CaCO}_3/L$, or the mean acute EC₅₀ value of $31.1 \mu g$ dAg/L at this water hardness¹. Daphnia magna was the most sensitive species to silver used to derive the silver ambient water quality criteria, so it is a representative measure. Therefore, the test material appears more toxic potentially than dissolved silver alone. No paired daphnid acute test with silver nitrate was included in the study to document the toxicity to ionic and dissolved silver of the organisms used in this specific study and laboratory, which means other sources of information on dissolved silver have to be used to inform the potential difference in toxicity.

E. REFERENCES:

- MRID 49725501 Kennedy, A.J., Hull, M.S., Bednar, A.J., Goss, J.D., Gunter, J.C., Bouldin, J.L., Vikesland, P.J., and Steevens, J.A. 2010. Fractionating nanosilver: importance for determining toxicity to aquatic test organisms. *Environmental Science and Technology* 44: 9571-9577 and Supporting Information pages S1-S7. Study Experimental Dates: not reported.
- Coleman, J., A. Kennedy, and A. Harmon. 2015. Nanoparticle Dispersion in Aqueous Media: SOP-T-1. Environmental Consequences of Nanotechnologies. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 25 pp. http://el.erdc.usace.army.mil/elpubs/pdf/srel15-2.pdf